

# Math 254B Lecture 24 Notes

Daniel Raban

May 24, 2019

## 1 Moran's Theorem and Attractor Systems

### 1.1 Moran's theorem

Let  $(\Phi_i)_{i=1}^k$  be an iterated function system with contraction coefficients  $r_i$ ,  $r^* = \max_i r_i$ ,  $t_* = \min_i r_i \in (0, 1)$ . Last time, we showed that there exists a unique nonempty, compact attractor  $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \Phi_i[K]$ . We also had a coding map  $\pi : [k]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow K$  sending  $\pi(\omega) = \lim_n \Phi_{\omega|_n}(x)$  for any  $x$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (Moran). *Let  $k > 2$ . Define  $s > 0$  by  $\sum_{i=1}^k r_i^s = 1$ . Then  $\dim(K) \leq s$ . If the IFS satisfies the OSC,  $\dim(K) = s$ .*

**Remark 1.1.** The number  $s$  is sometimes called the **similarity dimension**  $\text{sdim}(\Phi)$ .

Here is a heuristic: Assume  $0 < m_\alpha(K) < 1$ , and assume that the SSC holds. Then

$$m_\alpha(K) = \sum_{i=1}^k m_\alpha[\Phi_i[K]] = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i^\alpha m_\alpha(K).$$

Notation: Given  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , define  $A_w = \Phi_w[A]$  for words  $w \in [k]^n$ . Then

$$\text{diam}(A_w) = r_w \cdot \text{diam}(A).$$

*Proof.* Upper bound: For all  $r$ ,  $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^k K_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \bigcup_{j=1}^k K_{i,j} = \bigcup_{w \in [k]^n} K_w$ . These covers give:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{diam}(K)(r^*)^n}^s(K) = \sum_{w \in [k]^n} (\text{diam}(K_w))^s = \left[ \sum_{w \in [k]^n} r_w^s \right] (\text{diam}(K))^s = (\text{diam}(K))^s.$$

So  $m_s(K) < \infty$ .

Lower bound: Let  $\mu = (r_1^s, r_2^s, \dots, r_k^s)^{\times \mathbb{N}} \in P([k]^{\mathbb{N}})$ , and let  $\nu := \pi_* \mu$ . We claim that  $\nu$  is  $s$ -regular:  $\nu(B_r(x)) \leq O(1)r^s$  for all  $x \in K$ .

Consider  $[w] = \{\omega[k]^\mathbb{N} : \omega[k]^\mathbb{N} \text{ starts with } w\}$ , the set of words that start with  $w$ . Then  $\pi([w]) = K_w$ . We have  $\mu([w]) = r_{w_1}^s \cdots r_{w_n}^s = r_w^s$ . On the other hand,  $\text{diam}(K_w) = O(1)r_w$ . Choose  $r > 0$  with  $r \ll 1$ . Define  $\mathcal{W}_r := \{w \in \bigcup_n [k]^n : (\text{diam}(K))r_w < r, (\text{diam}(K))r_{w_1} \cdots r_{w_{n-1}} \geq r\}$ . We know that  $\bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{W}_r} [w] = [k]^\mathbb{N}$ , and these  $[w]$  are disjoint.

We claim that for any  $x \in K$ ,  $|\{w \in \mathcal{W}_r : K_w \cap B_r(x) \neq \emptyset\}| = O_\Phi(1)$ ; that is, this depends on  $\Phi$  but not on  $r$  or  $n$ . Then

$$\nu(B_r(x)) \leq \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{W}_r \\ J_w \cap B_r(x) \neq \emptyset}} \mu([w]) \leq \sum_w O(1) \text{diam}(K_w)^s \leq O_\Phi(1)r^s.$$

Let  $U$  be as in the open set condition, and pick a small ball  $B = B(x_0, c_0) \subseteq U$ . We know that  $\Phi_i[U] \cap \Phi_j[U] = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ , so  $\Phi_i[B] \cap \Phi_j[B] = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ . Consider words  $w, v$ . If  $w$  is an initial segment of  $v$  (written  $w \leq v$ ), then  $U_v \subseteq U_w$ . If  $w \not\leq v$  and  $v \not\leq w$ , then  $U_w \cap U_v = \emptyset$ , so  $B_w \cap B_v = \emptyset$ . In particular,  $B_w \cap B_v = \emptyset$  if  $w, v \in \mathcal{W}_r$  are distinct. Moreover,  $\text{diam}(B_w) = \text{diam}(B)r_w \sim r$  (up to a constant) if  $w \in \mathcal{W}_r$ . So if  $B_r(x) \cap K_w \neq \emptyset$  and we know that  $\text{diam}(K_w) \sim r_w \sim r$ , then there is some  $C = O_\Phi(1)$  such that  $B_{Cr}(x) \supseteq B_w$ . This implies that  $\{B_w : w \in \mathcal{W}_r, B_r(x) \cap K_w \neq \emptyset\}$  is a collection of disjoint balls contained in  $B_{Cr}(x)$  with diameter  $\sim r \sim Cr$ . So the number of such balls is  $\leq (\text{const})^d$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.2.** If  $r_i = r$  for all  $i$ , then  $kr^s = 1$ , so  $s = \log(k)/\log(r^{-1})$ . We will stay in this situation for most of the remaining results, but the results can be proven for more complicated IFSs (with more wrinkles in the proofs).

**Remark 1.3.** If  $\Phi_i(x) = r_i U_i x + a_i$  for unitary  $U_i$ , we can construct self-affine attractors  $\Phi_i = A_i x + b - i$ , where  $\|A_i\|_{\text{op}} < 1$ . In this situation, the results in Moran's theorem give upper and lower bounds which generally don't match.

## 1.2 Attractor systems

How do the dynamics play into this picture? Let  $\sigma : [k]^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [k]^\mathbb{N}$  be the shift.  $\sigma|_{[i]} = \psi_i^{-1}$ , so we have local inverses. Under the SSC, we can define  $S : K \rightarrow K$  given by  $S|_{K_i} = (\Phi_i|_K)^{-1}$

**Definition 1.1.** We call  $(K, S)$  the **attractor system**.

The coding map  $\pi : ([k]^\mathbb{N}, \sigma) \rightarrow (K, S)$  is a conjugacy.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $r_i = r$  for all  $i$ . Under the SSC, for any ergodic  $\mu \in P^S(K)$ ,*

$$\dim(\mu) = \frac{h(\mu, S)}{\log(r^{-1})}.$$

*Proof.* The sets  $K_w = \pi([w])$ , and  $(\text{diam}(K_w)) = \text{const} \cdot r^n$ . Then

$$\nu(K_w) = \mu([w]_1^n) = e^{-hn+o(n)} = (\text{diam}(K_w))^{h/\log(r^{-1})+o(1)}.$$

Run this idea with the construction in the proof of Moran's theorem.  $\square$